

Supplier Change Control Training Package

SCM100114

Web-Training is required for at least one member of each of the following departments/disciplines for training credit

- 1) Quality Assurance / Quality Systems
- 2) Engineering / Design Control
- 3) Manufacturing / Process Controls
- 4) Management / Account Management











Change with Control - Training Objectives


This Program is to help a **Brooks Automation** Supplier understand:

- 1. What is Change with Control
- 2. What is Copy Exact!
- 3. Why Copy Exact! is important to Brooks Customers
- 4. Decision Tree for Requesting Changes
- 5. Why Brooks needs 270 days notification for Copy Exact!
- 6. Copy Exact! Violations and how to avoid causing one
- 7. Change control vs Performance control
- 8. What is POR/PCP and critical parts, examples included plus case study
- 9. How to Request Changes
- 10. Next Steps for Suppliers
- 11. Frequently Asked Questions



- Change is anything that affects form, fit, function, appearance or performance

Change is any modification to:



This includes all supplier and sub-tier supplier materials, processes, procedures, and equipment.


Change control applies to <u>every</u> aspect of the product and <u>all Brooks parts</u> should be treated by suppliers as being under strict change control.

Controlled change requires planning, risk assessment, qualification and communication in time for Brooks to plan, assess risk, and qualify.

Some of Brooks parts are under Copy Exact! which has additional requirements.

Change with ControlWhat is Copy Exact?

- Copy Exact!, Copy Exactly!, CE! are all names for the same thing.
- Copy Exact! (CE!) is a business process for documenting and implementing <u>change with</u> <u>notice and approval</u>.
- CE! is a Semiconductor Industry term but it is the same as "Frozen Process", "Process Lock" or "Configuration Controlled" which are used in other industries.
- For Brooks suppliers, requests for change requires 270 days notice. This gives Brooks the time we need to evaluate and notify our Customers per their specified timeframes.



Change with Control - Why Copy Exact?

CE! means identical inputs to identical equipment will produce identical results.




Goal: Identical Output!

Seemingly unimportant differences in equipment inputs can result in yield, reliability and/or performance differences.

- A finished 300mm wafer can be worth \$10,000 to \$100,000.
- If an inadvertent part change causes a production wafer yield loss of <u>only 0.1%</u>, the customer can lose up to \$300,000 per month!
- Changes can introduce variation which can cause a change in output.
 - Changes in output can be extremely costly.
- Changes must be planned, justified, and approved.



- Decision Tree for Requesting Changes




Takeaway:
If Brooks does
not find the
reason for
change to be
compelling, then
the requested
change will likely
be rejected.



- Why 270 days noticed is needed

Communicating change throughout the supply chain



Changes may require Brooks and Brook's Customer qualification. Thus requests are often grouped together for what is called block changes. Block changes minimize the disruption and qualification efforts.

A 270 day prior notice is requested for planned changes to allow for notification and ECR (Engineering Change Request) approval cycles. Suppliers need to create CE! communication rules with their sub-suppliers and ensure parts/process availability beyond a 270 day forecast.

© Copyright 2018 by Brooks Automation, Inc. – Proprietary Information SCM100114 Rev G DC0003271


- What is a Copy Exact Violation?



A CE! violation occurs if Brooks, our suppliers, or sub-tier suppliers make an uncontrolled change impacting fit, form, function, appearance, performance or reliability without notification to the CE! Customer.

Actual CE! Violation Example:

- □ Problem statement: High particle count within a Customer's vacuum chamber.
- □Root cause determined to be sub-tier supplier switched to a 'higher grade' material quartz window due to shortage of specified material. New material was not resistant to process gas erosion.
- □Containment and corrective action took significant \$\$\$\$ from both sub-tier and supplier to implement.



- Do Not Cause a Copy Exact Violation



Goal: **ZERO** Copy Exact! Violations



- Provide ample time for a change transition
 - Changes to CE! parts need 270 days notice
- Justify the change with adequate benefits
- Validate the change with adequate testing data
- Train your employees on Change Control and CE!
- Ensure that all sub-tier suppliers are trained and compliant with Brooks change with control and CE! policy
- Insist upon controls for your material and special process sub-suppliers.
 (Anodizing, welding, specialty coating, electro polish, painting, etc..)



Change Control vs Performance Control

CHANGE CONTROL

Copy Exact Designation

Agile and Purchase **Orders**

POR

Process is documented and followed

Change with Control

SIAR Process

Brooks Critical Parts Supply Chain Control

Process Control Plans Supplier Material and

Manufacturing Controls

Variation Reduction SOP and SPC

Critical status due to process complexity, customization, reliability or performance requirements

Mitigate all of ways things can go 'wrong' including with post secondary and sub-tier suppliers

Key, critical, and inspection dimensions should be capable and maintained/optimized with tools like SPC


PERFORMANCE CONTROL

Measuring, reducing, and controlling variation is the key to continuous and breakthrough improvement



- What is Process of Record and Process Control Plan?

- A Process of Record (POR) is a series of defined steps that will repeatedly produce a part to an agreed specification.
- A Process Control Plan (PCP) links defined steps in the POR with product characteristics and how the associated process variables are controlled.
 - POR and PCP are approved through acceptance of the First Article.
 - Once approved, it is then considered 'Locked In'.
 - An example of a PCP is included in the next slide
- Brooks defined Critical Parts require a PCP regardless of CE! status
 - Critical part status can be found in the parts attribute table on the drawing and will be noted with an "X" next to Supplier Process Control. It will also be noted on the Brooks purchase order.




- With a Critical Part, there is no such thing as an overly controlled process or a small change to the process.
- Brooks expects our critical parts suppliers to establish reliable PCPs with no unapproved deviation from the established POR.

- Example of a Process Control Plan

	Proce	A			
	Brooks Proce	ess Control Plan	Part Number:	8185078G001	
	310010		Supplier:	XYZ Machine	
Operation #	Operation Description	Product / Process Requirements	Control Method / Measurement		
			Method	Frequency	Record
10	Raw Material Inspection Certification Req.	.750 Dia. (+/005) 303 SST Cert. of Mat. Verification	Dial Caliper Visual – Verify Cert for Chromium content	1.0 AQL Each Lot	Pass/Fail on router Attach to router
20	Turning / Cut Off Op Hardinge – Machining Center 16A No program – Manual Set-up	Turn OD .630 +.005000 Full Length Cut-Off @1.090 - 1.100 Chamfer both ends approx.015	0 – 1" Micrometer Dial Caliper Visual	First and Last Piece First and Last Piece First and Last Piece	First / Last Piece on router First / Last Piece on router First / Last Piece on router
30	Turning Complete Op Matsuura CNC – Machining Center 19A Program # 11567 - Rev C – 257893 Bytes	.281283 Dia. .244246 Dia. .093098 Dia. .140145 Dia. .126127 Dia. .005010 Radius	Gage pins Gage pins Gage pins Gage pins Gage Pins Gage Pins (.1260, .1265, .1270) Comparator	1.0 AQL 1.0 AQL 1.0 AQL 1.0 AQL 0.4 AQL 1.0 AQL	Pass / Fail on router Record variable data on router Pass / Fail on router
40	Drilling Op Drill Press – Manual Set-up	.187 +/010 .005010 Radius	Gage Pins – Keep drill sharp and hold burrs to a minimum. Comparator	1.0 AQL 1.0 AQL	Pass / Fail on router Pass / Fail on router
50	Deburring Op Buffing Wheel - Wire	No Burrs at all diameters	Visual Inspect – 10X Loop	100%	First / Last Piece on router
60	Plating (Outside Source – Plate for U)	Type II Dull Finish per Brooks 3544095 Plating thickness	Certificate of Analysis with each lot processed by Plating House Visual Inspect against CTI Plating coupon Polish / Etch cross section – measure with Tool Makers Mic.	Cert. for each lot 1.0 AQL Initial Qual	Inspection Report Form
70	Final Inspection	All dimensions per spec / print	Per Brooks Blueprint / Specifications— Verify revision level to PO.	1.0 AQL	Inspection Report Form

- Creation of Process Control Plan



- Critical parts, can you find your product?

Brooks Critical Part Examples:

- End Effectors, Atmospheric and Vacuum
- Substrate Supports, i.e. wafer pads
- •Vacuum System Single Wafer Load Lock wafer supports, i.e.
- Vacuum System Cooling Module cool down pedestals
- Vacuum System Safety Node
- Load Lock Assemblies
- •Load Lock Indexer, i.e. z-lifts and spider, assemblies
- •Vacuum System Transfer Chamber Lids, Clear and Metal
- Vacuum System Facet Adapter Plates (FAPs)
- Slit (slot) Valves
- Vacuum System Lid Lifters
- Vacuum System Single Wafer Load Lock hoops
- •Wrist Assemblies, Atmospheric and Vacuum Robots
- •Arm Assemblies, Atmospheric and Vacuum Robots
- Belt Drives
- Shafts
- Slip Rings
- Drive Assemblies, Atmospheric and Vacuum

- Vacuum System Isolation Valves
- Traversers
- •Pneumatic Manifolds, i.e. EV's
- Bellows Assemblies
- Vacuum Forelines
- Vent Lines
- Inert Gas Regulators
- Inert Gas Valves
- Vent Diffusers
- Inline Filters
- •Fan Filter Units
- Vent Valves
- •Vacuum System Control Assemblies, including the:
- Encoder
- Motors
- Bearings
- Seals

The supplier <u>must not</u> deviate from the <u>PCP/POR</u> without <u>prior</u> <u>notification</u> to and <u>approval</u> by Brooks Automation.



- Case Study on Risk with POR changes
- The process of record may not align to the drawing or model.
- Standard program adjustments for process optimization/product conformance may cause unintended changes.
- Example: A machining supplier changed their program to eliminate manual deburring. When comparing to the model, a feature was inadvertently added to the part program even though it had not previously been there.

- Lesson learned: Compare parts before and after to ensure no impact to FFF or appearance.
- If a difference between POR, model, or print, is identified, notify Brooks via SIAR but keep building to the process of record.
- Be aware of any unintended changes!
- Remember no program code changes that will impact FFF or appearance without Brooks approval!

Change with Control - Requesting Change

Change is anything that affects form, fit, function, appearance, performance, or reliability.

Brooks expects that suppliers <u>change with control</u> ensuring Brooks is asked to approve changes to impacting fit, form, function, appearance, performance, or reliability.

- For requesting changes or informing Brooks of part obsolescence, please use this <u>web-based form</u>. If you have questions, please reference the <u>instructions</u> for submitting a SIAR.
 - If response time is slow...contact your Buyer and/or SQE.
- For suppliers who have access to Agile, here are instructions for entering SIARs directly into Agile.
- If you have any questions or issues please contact <u>SIARsubmittals@brooks.com</u>
- Brooks needs 270 days notice because we have Copy Exact!
 Customers who also require 270 days notification.




- Summary

Continuous improvement is everyone's goal, however...

- There is no such thing as a small change, even if the 'product response' or 'final test response' seems to be within specification.
- Brooks Automation expects notification of POR changes to Manpower, Materials, Methods, Measurement, Machines or Environment.
 (We prefer you to be overly conservative with POR changes and engage us appropriately.)
- Changes are allowed with sufficient data to convince Brooks and our customers.
- 270 days minimum advance notice is required prior to approval and cut-in.
- An unapproved change in a POR is not allowed.

Change with control is necessary


- Our customers expect it.
- Brooks expects it from our suppliers and from the sub-tier suppliers.





Change with Control - Summary of CE! vs Critical Parts

All Parts are subject to CHANGE CONTROL!



For Brooks, CE! part changes include customer approval and notification.

Changes to critical or supplier lock parts have heightened evaluation due to impact to Brooks product performance.



Change with Control - Next Step for Suppliers

Thank you for completing this training, you should now understand:

- What is Change with Control and Copy Exact!
- Why Brooks requires Copy Exact! and 270 day notification
- Basic information on POR, PCP, and Critical Parts
- How to request a change via a SIAR

Next Steps:

We request that a representative from (4) departments, Quality, Engineering, Manufacturing and Account Management be trained and take the Brooks Change Control Test.



- Frequently Asked Questions



Are all Brooks parts Copy Exact!?

➤No, not all Brooks parts are Copy Exact!. However, Brooks still needs notification of all changes impacting fit, form, function, appearance, or performance. Request for changes on CE! parts will take longer. Copy Exact! status is noted on purchase orders.

- Are all Critical parts also under Copy Exact!?
 - ➤No, critical parts are based upon Brooks design. CE! status is related to which Customers buy Brooks products.
- o How long does a SIAR response take?
 - ➤ It varies by the type of request, impact to either CE!, critical part or supplier lock, and if an engineering change is needed.
- O Who can I ask if I have other questions?
 - ➤ Ask your buyer, SQE, or email Copy.Exact@Brooks.com.
- How do I implement Change with Control and CE! in my company?
 - ➤ See next slide on suggestions
- o How do I submit a SIAR?
 - http://www.brooks.com/my-brooks/suppliers/siar-redirect



- Suggestions on Implementation

Change Control

Update your Process to be CE! compatible

- 1) Be able to Identify CE! parts / assemblies in your BOM / Materials / Process Control system and ID Process / QA documents as CE!
- 2) Supplier Change Process Approvals need to incorporate Brooks \$IAR Notification & Approval.
- 3) Change Process must consider re-qualification and testing time prior to implementation (Early notification at concept).
- 4) SIAR process incorporated in change process
- 5) Record unique Process of Record ID/Revision independent of Part Number/Revision.
- 6) Establish method for sub-supplier POR recording.

CE! Training – Sub-Suppliers

- 1) General advisement of CE! to all sub-suppliers.
- 2) Schedule training for critical and/or special process subsuppliers.
- 3) Records of sub-supplier training.
- 4) Verify Critical Sub-Supplier CE! change control Process implementation.

BOM / Sub-Supplier Control

- 1) Have parts / material visibility > 270 days to allow for End-of-Life SIAR Notification.
- 2) Have Spec sheets available for materials and components for baseline CE! Reference.
- 3) Are your sub-supplier capable for > 270 days part/ material visibility for CE! (> 360 preferred).
- 4) Special Process Suppliers are actively managed.

CE! Training – Employees

- 1) Engineering Change Control personnel trained.
- 2) Process Engineers trained.
- 3) Buyers / Supplier Engineering trained, to enforce and support CE! with critical sub-suppliers.
- 4) Manufacturing personnel trained to follow POR.

Process Yield / Critical Part SPC notification

1) Update internal process to make Brooks aware of internal yield or SPC out-of-control issues.

Verification Audits

1) Conduct regular internal audits, sub-supplier audits and 2nd tier sub-supplier audits for POR verification.



Revision history:

Rev	Revision Date	Author	Approver	Description	
A	09/3/13	S. Orlowski	L. Arlander	Initiate Per DCO001668	
В	3/12/14	J. Soo Hoo	U. Williams	Updated 180 to 270 days per DCO001878	
C	5/2/14	J. Soo Hoo	U. Williams	Added in link for Supplier CE! test	
D	4/24/15	U. Williams	G. LaGrange	Revised entire document	
E	11/10/15	U. Williams	G. LaGrange	Updated to reflect new SIAR process per DCO002523	
F	3/30/17	J. Soo Hoo	U. Williams	Updated links per DCO002832	
G	10/24/18	U. Williams	D. Wipff	Added case study, performance control, and Venn diagram	